arXiv:1404.1770v1 [math.DS] 7 Apr 2014

[1]

NON-INTEGRABILITY OF DOMINATED SPLITTING ON $\mathbb{T}^2$

BAOLIN HE AND SHAOBO GAN

Abstract We construct a diffeomorphism f on 2-torus with a dominated splitting $E \oplus F$ such that there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \ni f$ satisfying that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, neither E_g nor F_g is integrable.

1. Introduction

According to the theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, Lipschitz vector fields are uniquely integrable. However, the bundles appeared in dynamics are mostly Hölder [PSW]. Due to the hyperbolicity, the stable and unstable bundles are uniquely integrable. Particularly for two-dimensional C^2 Anosov diffeomorphisms, the two hyperbolic bundles are C^1 [AS]! But, we know little on the integrability of center bundles, which is a really challenging problem [BBI].

In this paper, we focus on 2-dimensional diffeomorphisms on 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ with dominated splitting. At first, we recall some related definitions.

Let E be a one-dimensional continuous sub-bundle of $\mathrm{T}\mathbb{T}^2$.

Definition 1.1. *E is said to be integrable if there exists a 1-foliation (continuous partition consisting of immersed 1-dimensional sub-manifolds) of $\mathbb{T}^2$ tangent to E.*

Definition 1.2. *E is said to be uniquely integrable if there exists exact one 1-foliation of $\mathbb{T}^2$ tangent to E.*

Definition 1.3. *A Df-invariant bundle splitting $E \oplus F = \mathrm{T}\mathbb{T}^2$ is said to be a dominated splitting, if for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$, any unitary $u \in E_x$ and any unitary $v \in F_x$, $|Df(u)| < |Df(v)|$.*

Both the two bundles in the splitting are continuous and uniquely defined. And, the dominated splitting is robust: there exists neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \ni f$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, g has the dominated splitting $E_g \oplus F_g$.

According to Peano's Theorem, for a continuous vector field, through every point x there exists an integral curve. But, can these curves form a foliation?

[1]B. H is supported by CPSF 2013M540805. S. G is supported by 973 project 2011CB808002, NSFC 11025101 and 11231001.

Question 1. *Let f be a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^2$ with a dominated splitting $E \oplus F$. Are these two sub-bundles integrated to foliations? Moreover, if f is C^2, are the two bundles Lipschitz (C^1)?*

For partially hyperbolic systems (one of E and F is uniformly hyperbolic), Pujals and Sambarino firstly gave a positive answer for the former question. For the latter, it is still unclear.

Theorem 1.4. [PS, Po] *For partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, the two bundles in the dominated splitting are uniquely integrable.*

In this paper, we give a negative answer for the question:

Theorem 1.5. *There exists a diffeomorphism f on 2-torus with a dominated splitting $E \oplus F$ such that, there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \ni f$ satisfying that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, neither E_g nor F_g is integrable and hence neither of them is Lipschitz.*

In our construction, the non-integrability happens in a small neighborhood of sink (source). On the contrary, in [PS], it has an interesting corollary that *"for any C^2 diffeomorphism on 2-torus with dominated splitting, if periodic points are all hyperbolic saddles, then the two bundles are uniquely integrable"*. How about C^1 systems:

Problem 1. *Given a C^1 diffeomorphism on 2-torus with a dominated splitting, if periodic points are all hyperbolic saddles, are the two bundles integrable?*

Between the integrability and unique integrability, there exists such surprising phenomena for a Hölder continuous vector field on the plane: *there are uncountable distinct foliations tangent to the vector field* [BF]. So, it is natural to ask:

Problem 2. *Is there such diffeomorphism with dominated splitting $E \oplus F$ satisfying that, $E(F)$ is integrated to different foliations?*

2. TWO BASIC LEMMAS

At first, we introduce some notations used through the paper. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2.$$

Let $0 < \lambda < 1 < \mu$ be the two eigenvalues of A, E^s be the contracting eigenspace of A, and E^u be the expanding eigenspace of A. Let f_A be the hyperbolic automorphism on 2-torus induced by A, which has two fixed point at least. E^s and E^u induces the hyperbolic splitting of f_A,

still denoted as $E^s \oplus E^u = \mathrm{T}\mathbb{T}^2$; and the two eigenspaces induce the coordinate $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\}$ on 2-torus.

Let f be a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^2$, the norm of Df is denoted by

$$||Df|| = \max\{|Df(v)|/|v| : 0 \neq v \in \mathrm{T}\mathbb{T}^2\}.$$

The norm of Df restricted on a sub-bundle E, is denoted by $||Df|_E||$. For a hyperbolic fixed non-sink x with the dominated splitting $T_x(M) = E(x) \oplus F(x)$, we define the strong unstable manifold $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(x, f)$ as:

$$\{y : d(f^{-n}(y), x) < \frac{1}{10}, \text{ and } \exists N, \text{ s.t. } \frac{d(f^{-n}(y), x)}{||Df^{-n}|_{E(x)}||} < \frac{1}{2}, \ \forall n > N\}.$$

Similarly, we can define strong stable manifold $W^{ss}_{\frac{1}{10}}(x, f)$ for a hyperbolic fixed non-source x with dominated splitting.

Now we give two basic lemmas. Firstly, we recall DA-operation[Wi]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p = (0, 0)$ be a fixed point of f_A. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists C^0-perturbation f of f_A such that:*

1. *$f(x) = f_A(x)$ outside the ε-ball $B(p, \varepsilon)$;*
2. *for any $x \in M$,*

$$Df(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

   here $\lambda^2 < a(x) < \sqrt{\mu}$, $|b(x)| < \varepsilon$;
3. *In $W^s(p, f_A)$, f has exactly three periodic points contained in $B(p, \varepsilon)$: one fixed source p and two fixed saddles;*
4. *In some neighborhood of the two saddles above, Df are constant diagonal matrixes;*
5. *$W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p, f) = \{0\} \times (-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10})$.*

Similarly, there exists a symmetrical DA-operation of f_A: to do the same DA-operation of f_A^{-1}.

For completion, we give a proof of this basic lemma in the following.

Proof. Let $I_1 \times I_2 \subset B(p, \varepsilon)$, I_1 and I_2 both are intervals centered at 0, and

$$\ell(I_1) = \frac{\varepsilon}{3\mu}\ell(I_2).$$

Take a smooth bump function α satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\alpha(x)$ is an odd function and $\alpha(x) = 0$, for $x \notin I_1$;
2. $\lambda^2 - \lambda < \alpha'(x) < \sqrt{\mu} - \lambda$;
3. $\alpha(x) + \lambda x$ has exactly three periodic points all contained in I_1: one fixed source 0 and two fixed sinks;

(4) In some neighborhood of the above two sinks, $\alpha'(x)$ are constant values.

Take another bump function β satisfying that,

$$\begin{cases} \beta(x) = 1, x \text{ in a small neighborhood of } 0; \\ \beta(x) = 0, x \notin I_2;\ 0 \leq \beta(x) \leq 1; \\ |\beta'(x)| < 3/\ell(I_2) \end{cases}$$

Let

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (\alpha(x_1)\beta(x_2) + \lambda x_1, \mu x_2).$$

Then,

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'(x_1)\beta(x_2) + \lambda & \alpha(x_1)\beta'(x_2) \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $\lambda^2 - \lambda < \alpha'(x) < \sqrt{\mu} - \lambda$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\ell(I_1) = \frac{\varepsilon}{3\mu}\ell(I_2)$. Then,

$$|\alpha(x_1)\beta'(x_2)| < \mu\ell(I_1) \times \frac{3}{\ell(I_2)} = \varepsilon.$$

And,

$$\lambda^2 < \alpha'(x_1)\beta(x_2) + \lambda < \sqrt{\mu}.$$

This verifies the property (2) in the lemma. From the properties (3) and (4) of function α and $\beta'(x) = 0$ in a small neighborhood of 0, we get the properties (3) and (4) of the lemma. Since Df are diagonal matrices on the line $\{0\} \times (-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10})$, f satisfies property (5). □

The next lemma is a classic theorem (e.g., see appendix B in [BDV]), which gives a sufficient condition for a diffeomorphism to have a dominated splitting. For any two sub-bundles $E, F \subset \mathrm{T}\mathbb{T}^2$,

$$\angle(E, F) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \max\{\angle(u, v) : \forall u \in E_x, v \in F_x, x \in \mathbb{T}^2\}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{K} > 0$, $\eta > 1, \delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any diffeomorphism f on $\mathbb{T}^2$ and under the coordinate $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\}$,*

$$Df(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ c(x) & d(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying that for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{|a(x)|, |d(x)|\} > \mathcal{K}, \\ &|d(x)| > \eta|a(x)|, \\ &\max\{|b(x)|, |c(x)|\} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

f has the dominated splitting $E \oplus F$ with the property that

$$\angle(E, E^s) < \delta, \quad \angle(F, E^u) < \delta.$$

3. A robustly non-integrable example

Firstly, we construct a diffeomorphism on 2-torus with the dominated splitting $E \oplus F$ such that, E is robustly non-integrable. It is a special DA-map: to do DA-operation twice.

Example 3.1. *Let p be a fixed point of f_A, $\varepsilon > 0$ be a very small constant (to be determined in the following construction). By DA-operation in $B(p, \varepsilon)$, we can take a map g such that*

$$g(x) = f_A(x), x \notin B(p, \varepsilon),$$

$$Dg = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

here, $\lambda^2 < a(x) < \sqrt{\mu}, |b(x)| < \varepsilon$. And, g has the two fixed points: source p and saddle $q \in B(p, \varepsilon)$.
Also, there exists a open neighborhood $U \ni q$ such that for any $x \in U$:

$$Dg(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(q) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this smaller neighborhood U, we make another DA-operation f of g such that,
f has the two fixed points source p and sink q in $B(p, \varepsilon)$, both the length of two components of $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p, f) - p$ equals $\frac{1}{10}$, and

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x) & b_1(x) \\ c_1(x) & d_1(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying that there exists $\mathcal{K} > 0$ and $\eta > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{|a_1(x)|, |d_1(x)|\} > \mathcal{K}, \\ &|d_1(x)| > \eta |a_1(x)|, \\ &\max\{|b_1(x)|, |c_1(x)|\} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta < \frac{1}{1000}$, and $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{1000}$ satisfying lemma 2.2.

Then, f satisfies the following properties:

1. *f has the dominated splitting $E \oplus F$;*
2. *$\angle(E^s, E) < \delta, \angle(E^u, F) < \delta$;*
3. *$f(x) = f_A(x), x \notin B(p, \varepsilon)$;*
4. *f has two fixed points in $B(p, \varepsilon)$: source p and sink q;*
5. *the length of two components of $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p, f) - p$ both equals $\frac{1}{10}$, and*
   $2\varepsilon ||Df|| < \frac{1}{10}$.

A curve γ^E is said to be an E-curve, if γ^E is tangent to E everywhere. Similarly, we define F-curve. The non-integrability of E results from the following fact:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $B(q)$ be the intersection of $B(p,\varepsilon)$ and the basin of the sink q. For any $x \in B(q)$ and any E-curve γ^E of length 3ε centered at x, we have that $p \in \gamma^E$.*

Proof. We give the natural order on the curve $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p,f)$. By the dominated splitting, it is not difficult to show that,

$$\mathrm{T}W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p,f) = F|_{W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p,f)}.$$

Let I be the set of points of the intersections of $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p,f)$ and any E-curve γ^E of length 3ε centered at any $x \in B(q)$. Note that,

$$q \in B(p,\varepsilon), \angle(E^s,E) < \delta, \angle(E^u,F) < \delta, \text{ and } \frac{1}{10} >> \varepsilon.$$

Then, it is not difficult to deduce that every intersection above is exactly one point. Also, the lower bound a and upper bound b of I satisfy that

$$\max\{d(a,p), d(b,p)\} < 2\varepsilon.$$

Suppose on the contrary that $I \neq \{p\}$, say $b \neq p$. Then, we can take a point $y \in I$ close enough to b. By the definition of I, we take an E-curve γ^E starting from y to $B(q)$ of length smaller than 3ε(see the following picture).



Note that $f = f_A$ outside $B(p,\varepsilon)$, and $\angle(E^s,E) < \delta$. Then,

$$\ell(f(\gamma^E)) < 3\varepsilon.$$

By $2\varepsilon||Df|| < \frac{1}{10}$, we see that

$$f([a,b]) \subset W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p,f).$$

Then, $f(y) \in I$. By the uniform expanding of f on the curve $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p, f)$, we see that the intersection $f(y) \notin [a, b]$. This contradiction finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of the robust non-integrability of E. Note that the above five properties of f are robust. Then, by the above lemma, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \ni f$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, g has the dominated splitting $E_g \oplus F_g$, but E_g is non-integrable.

Remark 3.3. *Consider another saddle q' in the $W^s(p, f_A)$. Then, the phenomenon in the above lemma also happens between saddle q' and sink q.*

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let p_1 and p_2 be the two fixed point of f_A. Take a small enough $\varepsilon > 0$. We construct the map f as follows:

(1) make the same perturbation in $B(p_1, \varepsilon)$ as the example above;
(2) make the symmetrical perturbation in $B(p_2, \varepsilon)$: for f_A^{-1}, we make the same perturbation in $B(p_2, \varepsilon)$ as the example above;
(3) $B(p_1, \varepsilon)$ and $B(p_2, \varepsilon)$ are disjoint. Also, f has that
   - the length of two components of $W^{uu}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p_1, f) - p_1$ both equals $\frac{1}{10}$,
   - the length of two components of $W^{ss}_{\frac{1}{10}}(p_2, f) - p_2$ both equals $\frac{1}{10}$.

Now, f satisfies all properties in the theorem.

References

[AS] V. I. Arnol'd and J. G. Sinai, On small perturbations of the automorphism of a torus, Dokl. Aka. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 695-698.

[BBI] M. Brin, D. Burago, and S. Ivanov, Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of the 3-torus, J. Mod. Dyn., **3** (2009), 1-11.

[BDV] C. Bonatti, L. J. Diaz, M. Viana, Dynamics Beyond Uniform Hyperbolicity – A Global Geometric and Probabilistic Perspective, Springer-Verleg (2005).

[BF] C. Bonatti and J. Franks, A Hölder continuous vector field tangent to many foliations. Modern dynamical systems and applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (2004), 299-306.

[Po] R. Potrie, Partial hyperbolicty and attracting regions in 3-dimensional manifolds, doctoral thesis.

[PS] E. Pujals and M. Sambarino, Integrability on codimension one dominated splitting, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **38** (2007), 1-19.

[PSW] C. Pugh, M. Shub, and A. Wilkinson, Hölder foliations, revisited., J. Mod. Dyn. **6** (2012), 79-120.

[Ta] F. Takens, Partially hyperbolic fixed points, Topology, **10** (1971), 133-147.

[Wi] R. Williams, The "DA" maps of Smale and structural stability, in "Global Analysis," Pro. Sympos. Pure Math., **14**, (1970), 329-334.

Mathematics and Science College, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200235, P.R.China

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R.China
E-mail address: hebaolin@shnu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R.China
E-mail address: gansb@math.pku.edu.cn